of them. Now that's the problem they have so with this lill would say this; that they would have the option, they could either attemnt to organize where the organization now existed in only that area like the University of Omaha or they could attemnt to organize the University of Omaha in the area in with they're attempting in the Intersity at Lincoln. It fixed them a flexibility which today in the interpretation of the present law, the people who operate and set the procedure for the University say they're restricted to all or none so obviously that will make it quite difficult. Now there's no use moving the bill back. If you're not going to really consider the adoption of the amendment and I'd like to have Senator Whitney express himself.

PRESIDENT: Senator Whitney.

SENATOR WRITINEY: Mr. President and Members of the Legislature. There was a question earlier relative to nutting this collective bargaining amendment on another bill and it appeared that it might not be because it pertains to benefits of employees, but since that time, Senator Carpenter, Thave discovered that there's more objection to this amendment being placed on \$23\$ than I originally thought there was going to be. Therefore, I feel that this amendment would jeopardize this bill, LB \$23\$. Even though it may pass on select file, I feel that by the time they got to final reading and on final reading that there would be so many objections to this amendment that the bill would not pass. Therefore, I would hope that we would not bring this back to select file to put this amendment on. I'd rather take it up in, next, next session or something like that. This collective harmaining thing is a whole new issue and I would hope that we would not put it on LB \$423\$ but just go ahead and pass

(End of Belt #10)